

Ph.D. Program Dissertation Proposal Evaluation Form

Ph.D. Candidate : Arfiyan Ridwan

Dissertation Committee Member : M. Arif Rahman Hakim, Ph.D

Day, Date : Thursday, July 3, 2025

Please review and score the dissertation proposal according to the following criteria:

1. Poor 2. Below expectations 3. Satisfactory 4. Above expectations 5. Excellent

Criteria	Evaluation Score Least (1) / Most (5)	Weight	Score x Weight
1. The research backgrounds and objectives are clear.	1 2 3 4 5	2	8
2. The proposal displays appropriate knowledge of relevant literature.	1 2 3 4 5	2	10
3. The proposed research makes a useful contribution by filling in the gaps to the existing body of knowledge.	1 2 3 4 5	3	15
4. The proposal contains a solid conceptual framework with clear hypotheses/propositions where suitable.	1 2 3 4 5	3	12
5. Methodology and research design are suitable to address the research questions.	1 2 3 4 5	2	8
6. There is a feasible, detailed and well-justified plan to collect and analyze data.	1 2 3 4 5	2	8
7. The plan to collect the data complies with research ethics.	1 2 3 4 5	2	8
8. The proposal is sufficient for a Ph.D. dissertation.	1 2 3 4 5	2	10
9. The expected outcomes of the research are clearly identified and significant.	1 2 3 4 5	1	8
10. The proposal follows mechanical aspects of writings (grammar, spelling, numbering, punctuation) and APA style	1 2 3 4 5	1	8
Overall total (sum):			95

Recommendation:

☒ **Pass** ☐ Pass pending approval of revision ☐ Revise and Defend ☐ Not Pass

Comments/suggestions on the proposal (please fill in)

1. Candidate should be more focused on his chapter 1, because in my opinion in some parts he seems to be trying to explore certain topics and cases that he might do that to make the research background look more convincing, but for me the focus and urgency of his research objectives are a little blurry because of that. So my suggestion the candidate should proofread his chapter 1 and replacing some parts of the explanation that do not support his research objectives, so the urgency of his research can be highlighted properly
2. The literature review looks quite impressive. But needs some reinforcement so the connection between literature review and research background will be stronger, and it will help the candidate in the discussion section later.
3. I can't find the detail information about pilot test. If you think this step will be very important in your research, you should explain it very detail in your chapter 3.
4. You will conduct semi structure interview for selected primary English teachers, so actually the interview questions should be added in your chapter 3 or research appendix.
5. Good luck

Depok, 3 July 2025

Examiner,



ARIF EL SIRADJ

M. Arif Rahman Hakim, Ph.D