

STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY FATMAWATI SUKARNO BENGKULU FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION ENGLISH DEPARTEMENT

Document Code Rsn2022

	SEMESTER-BASED COURSE PLAN								
COURSE			CODE		OURSE GROUP	CREDIT	SEMESTER	DATE OF WRITING	
DISCOURSE ANALYSIS			19F04130202	MA	AJOR COURSE	2 SKS	5	12 June 2019	
AUTHORIZED BY:			Semester-Based Co Plan Developer Risnawati	Plan Developer (Koordinator RMK)				Pepartment	
Expected	Departm	ent's ELOs that	must be referred to by t	he cours	e				
Learning	ELO-1	Ability to apply	academic and profession	al workin	g culture in performin	g language-, literatu	re and ELT- relate	ed jobs.	
Outcome (ELO)	ELO-2	Ability to explai	n basic theories of linguis	basic theories of linguistics, literature, or ELT required in related jobs.					
	ELO-3 Ability to explain the relationship between linguistics, literature, ELT and cultural concepts required in related jobs						jobs		
	ELO-4								
	ELO-6	Ability to analyze spoken and written texts, based on linguistics, literature or ELT theories.							
	Course Learning Outcome (CLO)								
	By the en	d of the source, st	udents will be able to ana	lyze and	examine ways to focus	s on text and discour	rse.		
	Lesson L	earning Outcom	e (LLO)						
	CLO 1	Ability to recogn	nize of discourse and text	, and vari	iaties of context.				
	CLO 2 Ability to understand the principles of the cohesion devices and coherence, schematic convention and concept of the schema						of the schema.		
	CLO 3	•	rehend the roles of frame references, and cultural assumption.						
	CLO 4	• •	re and do discourse analy						
	CLO 5	Ability to explor	ore and do critical discourse analysis.						

Course	The course aims to help st	udents develop an understanding of approaches to critical discourse analysis and apply to the analysis of texts,									
Description		anguage above the level of sentence as well as the investigation of language in context. The course will explore									
•		from language, literature, culture and media. Students will read original and recent work in these areas, and will									
	engage themselves in colle	ecting, analyzing, and describing different forms and ideologies of discourse.									
		l, text-based, text language methodologies. It engages the student in different learning tasks (e.g., critical									
		critical discourse model to find ideologies of the text, small group discussion, group oral presentation). Several									
		introduced and opportunities are provided for participants to analyze written texts and discuss applications for									
	anguage in texts and media.										
Learning	1. Text and Discours										
Materials	· ·	Context and Shared Value, Shared Knowledge, Text activated Context									
	3. Cohesion Devices										
		tion, the Concept of the Schema,									
		5. Frame of References and Cultural Assumption.									
	6. Element of Discourse Analysis7. Element of Critical Discourse Analysis										
References	Main:										
References		1. Widdowson, H.G. 2007. Discourse Analysis, China; Oxford University Press.									
		001. How to do Discourse Analysis, New York: Routledge									
		6. Critical Discourse Analysis, as Research Tool, Reutledge, Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of									
	Education, Vol.11										
	Supporting:										
	- Richard Hudson (e	ds.), 1995. Text and Discourse Analysis, New York: Routledge.									
	- Abdul Rani, et al. 2006. Analisis Wacana, Malang; Bayumedia Publishing.										
Lecturers in											
charge	Risnawati										
	NI/A										
Pre-requisite subject	N/A										
SIIII WI'I											

Meeting(s)	Lesson Learning Outcome	Scoring		Leaning Activities Learning Methods, Students' Tasks, [Estimated Time]		Learning Materials [References]	Scoring Percentage
		Indic ator	Scoring Criteria & Scoring Form	online	offline		(%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Ability to master the concept of academic prerequisites to follow within one semester.	Clarity in describing the prerequisites andactivities done in attending the course	Criteria: Clarity, accuracy, communicative, responsive Form of Ass.: Classroom activity, Oral Question — Answer, Written Question-Answer. Types of non-test assessments	- LMS PT + BM [(1+1) x(2x50m)] Task: The students register on LMS site and learnhow they use it to study more efficientlyand effectively	Form: Interactive Lecturing Method: Self-directed learning, collaborative learning, Cooperative Learning, discovery learning, Small Group discussion meeting [(1x(2x50m)]	Learning Contract, SLO, CLO, Permenristekdikti no. 44 Year 2015, KKNI Document, Academic Regulation of UIN FAS Bengkulu. Introduction: What is discourse?	4%
2-3	Ability to describe and distinguish between the text and discourse. Between spoken and written discourse.	Accuracy to describe and distinguish betweenthe text and discourse. Betweenspoken and written text.	Criteria: Clarity, accuracy, communicative, responsive Form of Ass.: Classroom activity, Oral Question – Answer, Written Question-Answer. Types of non-test assessments	LMS PT + BM [(1+1)x(2x100m)] Task: The students uploadtheir assignment on describing text and discourse, between written and spoken discourse on LMS site	Form: Interactive Lecturing Method: Self-directed learning, collaborative learning, Cooperative Learning, discovery learning, Small Group discussion meeting [(2x(2x100m)]	Learning Contract, SLO, CLO, Permenristekdikti no. 44 Year 2015, KKNI Document, UIN FAS Bengkulu Academic Regulation. Text and discourse. Spoken and written text.	8%

4 6 4133	
Ability to distinguish between text and context. Context and shared knowledge. Context and shared values. Text activated context. Text activated	8%
Ability to explain the cohesion devices and coherence Clarity to explain the cohesion devices and coherence Clarity, accuracy, communicative, responsive Form of Ass.: Classroom activity, Oral Question – Answer, Written Question–Answer. Types of non-test assessments Criteria: Clarity, accuracy, communicative, responsive Form of Ass.: Classroom activity, Oral Question – Answer, Written Question–Answer. Types of non-test assessments Criteria: Clarity, accuracy, communicative, responsive Form of Ass.: Classroom activity, Oral Question – Answer, Written Question–Answer. Types of non-test assessments Self-directed learning, Cooperative Learning, discovery learning, Coherence or LMS Small Group discussion meeting [(2x(2x100m)]	8%
8 Mid Test: Ability to answer the questions based on the materials presented from meeting 1 (week 1) to meeting 7 (week 7)	20%

9 – 10	Ability to analyze	Clarity in analyzing	Criteria:	LMS	Form:	Learning Contract,	
	the schematic	the schematic	Clarity, accuracy,	PT + BM	Interactive Lecturing	SLO, CLO,	
	convention, the	convention, the	communicative,	$[(1+1) \times (2\times 100 \text{m})]$	Method:	Permenristekdikti	
	concept of the	concept of the	responsive	Task:	Self-directed	no. 44 Year 2015,	
	schema. Frame of	schema. Frame of	Form of Ass.:	The students upload	learning,	KKNI Document,	
	references.	references. Frames	Classroom activity,	their assignment on	collaborative	Academic	
	Frames and	and cultural	Oral Question –	the schematic	learning,	Regulation of UIN	8%
						FAS Bengkulu.	
	cultural	assumptions.	Answer, Written	convention. Frame of	Cooperative	The schematic	
	assumptions		Question-Answer.	references on LMS	Learning, discovery	convention. Frame	
			Types of non-test	Site	learning,	of references.	
			assessments		Small Group	Frames and cultural	
					discussion	assumptions.	
					meeting		
					[(2x(2x100m)]		
11 - 12	Ability to describe	Accuracy in	Criteria:	LMS	Form:	Learning Contract,	
	the negotiation of	describing the	Clarity, accuracy,	PT + BM	Interactive Lecturing	SLO, CLO,	
	meaning,	negotiation of	communicative,	[(1+1) x(2x100m)]	Method:	Permenristekdikti	
	communicative	meaning,	responsive	Task:	Self-directed	no. 44 Year 2015,	
	convergence,	communicative	Form of Ass.:	The students upload	learning,	KKNI Document,	
	quantity of maxims,	convergence,	Classroom activity,	their assignment on	collaborative	Academic	
	maxims of quality,	quantity of	Oral Question –	the negotiation of	learning,	Regulation of UIN	
	1 1	maxims,	A 337 *			FAS Bengkulu.	004
	relation and manner	maxims of quality,	Answer, Written	meaning,	Cooperative	Negotiation of	8%
		relation and manner	Question-Answer.	communicative	Learning, discovery	meaning,	
			Types of non-test	convergence, quantity	learning,	communicative	
			assessments	if maxims, maxim	Small Group	convergence,	
				quality, relation and	discussion	quantity of maxims,	
				manner.	meeting	maxims of quality,	
12 15	A 1 '1', , 1	<u> </u>		LMC	[(2x(2x100m)]	relation and manner.	
13 - 15	Ability to do	Accuracy to do	Criteria:	LMS	Form:		
	discourse analysis	discourse analysis	Clarity, accuracy,	PT + BM	Interactive Lecturing		
	and critical	and critical analysis	communicative,	$[(1+1) \times (2\times 100\text{m})]$	Method:		
	analysis.		responsive	Task:	Calf dimental		
				1	Self-directed		

			learning,	
	Form of Ass.:	The students upload	_	
	Classroom activity,	their assignment on	collaborative	

		Oral Question – Answer, Written Question-Answer. Types of non-test assessments	the discourse and critical analysis on LMS site	learning, Cooperative Learning, discovery learning, Small Group discussion meeting [(3x(3x100m)]	11%
16	End-of-semester test/evaluation: Ability	to explain and form the va	arious types of materials	presented from meeting 9 (week 9) to	25%
	meeting 15 (week 15)				